## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CASE NO.

V.	Plaintiff(s),	) ) ) )
	Defendant(s	). )
	DISCLOS	JRE BY PARTY OR INTERVENOR IN A DIVERSITY CASE
urisdiction is be o update this in party must file notion, respon	ased on diversity ur nformation. An exe this disclosure at th	ehalf of each party or intervenor to an action in which der 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Counsel has a continuing duty cuted form should be electronically filed. The disclosing e time of the party's first appearance, pleading, petition addressed to the Court. The disclosing party also must to the action.
(Manus of Darts)		who is
(Name of Party)	lowing disclosures:	who is(Plaintiff / Defendant/Movant/Intervenor)
makes the fol	lowing disclosures:	who is
makes the fol	•	

Name of Individual/Entity	Citizenship
	<del></del>
	<del></del>
	<del></del>
nature of Attorney	Date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, the citizenship of a limited liability company . . . is determined by the citizenship of all of its members." <u>Cent. W. Va. Energy Co., Inc. v. Mountain State Carbon, LLC</u>, 636 F.3d 101, 103 (4th Cir. 2011). When members are LLCs themselves, the citizenship issues must be traced through until one reaches only individuals and/or corporations. <u>See Jennings v. HCR ManorCare, Inc.</u>, 901 F.Supp.2d 649, 651 (D.S.C. 2012) ("an LLC's members' citizenship must be traced through however many layers of members there may be").